GOVERNMENT

OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

In the Matter of:

Emergency Shelters in Commercial-Light Manufacturing Case No. 05-

Thursday, October 26, 2006 Hearing Room 220 South 441 4th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C.

The Public Hearing of Case No. 05-05 by the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened at 6:30 p.m. in the Office of Zoning Hearing Room at 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, Anthony Hood, Vice Chairperson, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD Vice Chairperson

GREGORY JEFFRIES Commissioner
JOHN PARSONS Commissioner

(NPS)

MICHAEL G. TURNBULL Commissioner

(AOC)

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON S. SCHELLIN Acting Secretary DONNA HANOUSEK Zoning Specialist

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

JENNIFER STEINGASSER MAXINE BROWN-ROBERTS

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Public Hearing held on October 26, 2005.

A G E N D A

3

<u>OPENING REMARKS</u>	•	•	•	•	•	•	4	
Vice Chairman Hood								
							-	
OFFICE OF PLANNING	•	•	•	•	•	•	1	
Ms. Maxine Brown-Roberts								
							2.0	
OFFICE OF PROPERTY MANAGEMENT	•	•	•	•	•	•	39	
Mr. Peter May								

1

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

1 6:38 p.m. 2 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Good evening, 3 ladies and gentlemen. This is a Public Hearing 4 of the Zoning Commission of the District of 5 Columbia for Thursday, October 26th, 2006. My name is Anthony J. Hood. 6 7 this evening are Commissioners, Jeffries, Parsons 8 and Turnbull. 9 The subject of this evening's hearing 10 in Zoning Commission Case No. 05-05. This is a 11 request by the Office of Planning for text 12 amendments to Title XI of the District 13 Columbia Municipal Regulations of Zoning 14 expand the zoning districts within 15 emergency shelters are permitted. 16 The notice of today's hearing was 17 published in the D.C. Register on July the 7th, 18 2006. Copies of today's hearing announcement are 19 available to you and are located on my left on the table near the door. You know, it's hard 20 21 talking to empty -- but anyway.

The hearing will be conducted

1 accordance with the provisions of 11 DCMR 3021. 2 The order of procedures will be as follows: 3 Preliminary matters, presentations by 4 Office of Planning, reports of 5 agencies, report Government οf the ANC, organizations 6 and persons in support, 7 organizations and persons in opposition. 8 The following time constraints will 9 be maintained in this hearing. Organizations 10 five minutes, individuals three minutes. 11 The Commission intends to maintain 12 these time limits as strictly as possible in 13 order to hear the case in a reasonable period of 14 time. The Commission reserves the right 15 change the time limits for presentations, 16 necessary. Notice that no time shall be seeded. 17 All persons appearing before 18 Commission are to fill out two witness cards. 19 These cards are located to my left and near the 20 Upon coming forward to speak to door. 21 Commission please give both cards to the reporter

sitting to my right before taking a seat at the

table.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Please be advised that these proceedings are being recorded by Court Reporter and is also Webcast live. Accordingly, we must ask you to refrain from any disruptive noises or actions in the hearing room. presenting information to the Commission, please turn on and speak into the microphone, first stating your name and home address. When you are finished speaking, please turn your microphone off so that your microphone is no longer picking up sound or background noise.

The decision of the Commission in this case must be based on the public record. avoid any appearance to the contrary, Commission requests that the persons present not members of Commission engage the the conversation during a recess or at any other time.

The staff will be available throughout the hearing to discuss procedural questions. Please turn off all beepers and cell

1	phones at this time so not to disrupt these
2	proceedings.
3	At this time, the Commission will
4	consider any preliminary matters.
5	Does the staff have any preliminary
6	matters?
7	MS. HANOUSEK: No, sir.
8	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. We'll
9	go straight to the Office of Planning, Ms. Brown-
10	Roberts.
11	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Good evening, Mr.
12	Chairman and Members of the Commission. I'm
13	Maxine Brown-Roberts from the Office of Planning.
14	I think what I will do is just sort
15	of highlight some of the concerns that were
16	expressed by the Commission the last time when we
17	had the set-down hearing and just address some of
18	those issues.
19	I think the first one is concerning
20	the placement of emergency shelters. There was
21	some concern that having them in the CM-1, the
22	Industrial District, that they would be placed in

1 close proximity to some of, what we call, noxious 2 facilities. 3 One of the things that we have done 4 is to place -- that facilities cannot be within 5 1,000 feet of a square and also that we don't 6 have more than one emergency shelter within a 7 square. 8 Also, the special have used we 9 exception process so that all the facilities that 10 come in within the industrial zones will be 11 reviewed. So, there will be some review as 12 against if they were as a matter of right. I think this will help to make sure 13 14 that these facilities, as part of the review, 15 that they will be assessed for compatibility with 16 the neighboring community and other uses that are 17 within that area. 18 There was also concern about the

number of persons and we had proposed that they

Commission did express some concerns that these

were large facilities. I think we stuck with the

be limited from a lower 5 up to 150 persons.

19

20

21

22

The

maximum of 150 persons. We consulted with the Office of the Deputy Mayor for Children, New Families and Elders. And they informed us that this was a sizeable facility that was manageable and this was not uncommon. And they were able to accommodate economies of scale in serving these people.

Again, through the special exception review, I think when these facilities come in for review that the number of persons in the facility will be something that will be assessed as part of that review.

The unequal distribution of emergency shelters and usually for just about all community based residential facilities has been something that has been a concern for all of us. And regarding the emergency shelters, I think that that is a goal that we will keep in mind, I think, during the review of these facilities.

Also, we are also mindful that the facilities, we want them to be located in areas where they will be integrated seamlessly into the

neighborhoods and we believe that some of these sites can be found in the CM-1 and CM-2 Districts.

Accessibility is also something that will control the location of some of these facilities, because one of the things providers look for is their accessibility to both the Metrorail and Metro buses, because a lot of these people, a lot of people who are in these facilities are either working or they have to go And so in the majority of cases, they to work. do not have vehicles. So, one of the things that will also help to limit their location is accessibility to public transportation.

The Industrial Land-Use Study is also something that is currently ongoing. And the draft that the Office of Planning has is very cautious about the location of emergency shelters. However, the study also specifies that areas be retained for municipal uses and we will consider that the emergency shelters fall within that category. And, therefore, we do not see a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	conflict with the Industrial Land-Use Study as it
2	stands at this time.
3	I will open the floor for any
4	additional questions.
5	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
6	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you,
7	Ms. Brown-Roberts.
8	Any questions?
9	Mr. Turnbull?
10	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank you,
11	Mr. Chairman.
12	I had one question. On the public
13	notice that went out for the hearing. It gives
14	the text on here. And Section 802.1 has the
15	underlying piece that says, "Except that
16	emergency shelters shall not be permitted in a
17	CM-3 District." Is that correct?
18	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: That is correct.
19	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: The only
20	thing I'm concerned about is in Attachment 1 of
21	the OP Report, 802.1 says "Except that emergency
22	shelters shall be permitted in a CM-3 District."

1	Am I is that a typo?
2	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: I'm sorry.
3	That's a typo.
4	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: That's a
5	typo?
6	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. Just
8	wanted to confirm that.
9	Thank you.
10	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Parsons?
11	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I wanted to
12	deal with the issue of what the Board is able to
13	do. And I'm looking at B G. Where the Board
14	may approve of a facility for more than 150
15	persons. But it doesn't seem to give any limit.
16	Do we have any guidance from those
17	who you have talked to? I mean, is 500 too big?
18	Is 600 too big? Is 1,000 too big? I mean,
19	should be put a limit on what we mean by this?
20	Are we talking in the margins of maybe 10 more
21	people or 20 or is there no limit?
22	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: I didn't

1	because one of the things that when I spoke to
2	the person from the Deputy Mayor for Children,
3	Youth, Families, I think one of the things that
4	they trying to move away are some of these larger
5	facilities under the Homeless No More initiative.
6	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Right.
7	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: And 150 was sort
8	of the number they gave me.
9	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Right.
10	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: They really
11	didn't say that, you know, that was an absolute
12	number.
13	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: So, I wonder
14	if there is some way we could insure that this
14 15	if there is some way we could insure that this wasn't going to go to 300 or 400 or 500? And
15	wasn't going to go to 300 or 400 or 500? And
15 16	wasn't going to go to 300 or 400 or 500? And give the BZA some guidance that by 10 percent or
15 16 17	wasn't going to go to 300 or 400 or 500? And give the BZA some guidance that by 10 percent or I shouldn't offer an opinion, but do you think
15 16 17 18	wasn't going to go to 300 or 400 or 500? And give the BZA some guidance that by 10 percent or I shouldn't offer an opinion, but do you think that would be wise to put a cap on this?
15 16 17 18 19	wasn't going to go to 300 or 400 or 500? And give the BZA some guidance that by 10 percent or I shouldn't offer an opinion, but do you think that would be wise to put a cap on this? MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: I'm not sure at

1 exception rules in the zoning, they also go up to 2 -- they also give this gap of 150 or -- and gives 3 the Board the jurisdiction to waive that amount 4 and not give a maximum amount. So, this would 5 sort of the anomaly to others. 6 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: But, 7 Brown-Roberts, I'm looking at the first page of the opening memo and it says here that 150 at 8 9 that facility was manageable and not uncommon. 10 So, are you saying that there a move towards 11 having smaller ones but is the point --12 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes. But at this 13 time, because they haven't -- they haven't -- I 14 mean, that initiative has not been implemented as 15 yet and that's something that they're looking 16 forward to doing. But some of the larger 17 facilities -- what I'm saying is some of the 18 larger facilities are that size. 19 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: So, then they 20 really shouldn't -- there shouldn't really be any 21 argument about putting a cap of 150 if that's

their plan to keep these facilities

part of

1 relatively smaller? I mean, they shouldn't have 2 a concern about that. Right? 3 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: No, maybe not. 4 But at the same time I think what I'm also trying 5 to say is that in the Zoning -- in the Zoning 6 Regulations, there are other uses, 7 community-based uses, that are also 8 permitted that give -- that uses this 9 language and not have a cap there. 10 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Well, I mean, 11 obviously, it's going to go through the special 12 exception and, you know, the community will get a 13 chance to sort of respond and so forth. 14 MS. STEINGASSER: We were trying get 15 this proposal to reflect some of the existing 16 text language which, I think, some of the medical 17 -- adult rehab facilities could go up and it 18 allows them, the BZA, to have the discretion to 19 consider larger numbers. We're trying to provide 20 as much flexibility. 21 I think the Homeless No More study 22 confirmed that smaller facilities are better

1	facilities but they're also much more expensive
2	facilities. And to find two small facilities is,
3	I think, twice as hard as to find one large. But
4	just trying to provide as much flexibility as we
5	could to the providers to be able to locate where
6	they could.
7	I understand your concern about, you
8	know, is 1,000 bed homeless shelter excessive.
9	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Well, even
10	200. I mean, you know. But, again, you're going
11	through the special exception process. I mean,
12	the community will be able to weigh in
13	MS. STEINGASSER: Weigh in. Right.
14	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: and the
15	BZA will review it. My only point was that, you
16	know, if they're trying to move towards a
17	smaller, I mean, you know, then they should have
18	problems with that limit. But you speak of
19	flexibility.
20	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: What is the
21	is there a bench mark or a standard square
22	footage that you use for planning a facilities

1	per bed? I mean, 100 square feet per bed or how
2	do you
3	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: I don't know.
4	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I'm just
5	trying to get a handle on how big a facility
6	150
7	MS. STEINGASSER: I think Central
8	Union Mission on 14th Street is about 170 right
9	now.
10	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Is it?
11	MS. STEINGASSER: If I remember
12	correctly.
13	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
14	MS. STEINGASSER: It's evident
15	there's nothing inside it. But we were unable to
16	get any kind of hard data like that outside of
17	the fire code standards would be
18	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
19	Thanks.
20	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: I really hate
21	to go here, but I really do. But I'm just trying
22	to get a sense of, I mean, in terms of the CM

1	Zones, the majority of those zones are they in
2	any particular ward or are they pretty evening
3	distributed throughout the District?
4	MS. STEINGASSER: They're not evenly
5	distributed. They're not evening distributed.
6	And it's interesting that you would be wearing
7	purple as that's the color or the map in the
8	Industrial Zone.
9	They are predominately in Wards 5
10	followed by Wards 1, 7 and 8. But they are
11	scattered throughout. There's also they
12	follow the tracks.
13	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay.
14	MS. STEINGASSER: That's the easiest
15	way to track. They follow the railroad tracks.
16	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Right.
17	Right. Okay.
18	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I just have a
19	question. Everybody knows pretty much about CM-1
20	Zones where I come from and I'm glad that
21	Commissioner Jeffries mentioned that about where
22	they're located. And I'm glad that she started

1	off with Ward 5.
2	But, anyway, I understand the
3	initiative and I'm supportive of it. But one of
4	the issues I want to make sure is that it's
5	across the board. And that's how I've always
6	been. I think I have never deviated from my
7	position on this.
8	Let me pose this question to Office
9	of Planning.
10	Right now in the CM-1 Zone, what do
11	we call it, temporary I don't want to call it
12	a case. But temporary prisons.
13	MS. STEINGASSER: Temporary
14	correctional facilities?
15	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And you
16	cannot sleep in a CM-1 Zone overnight. So, am I
17	correct? You cannot lay? I mean, have bedding
18	or whatever the term.
19	MS. STEINGASSER: You can't live in a
20	CM Zone. You can have artist residents,
21	caretakers, and hotels.
22	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So, you can't

1	live in a CM Zone?
2	MS. STEINGASSER: Unless you're an
3	artist or a caretaker.
4	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. So,
5	the reason we're doing this basically is because
6	of all the opposition that comes when we try to
7	put them in residential zones? Pretty much?
8	Basically. This is the easy way out?
9	MS. STEINGASSER: No. There's
10	nothing easy about it.
11	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: This is
12	closer to being the easy way out.
13	MS. STEINGASSER: Yes.
14	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: I think there is
15	also the economic reasons also. That's also
16	something that has been expressed to us.
17	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: But in CM
18	Zones, most of us I don't think any of us up
19	here live in CM-1 Zones. I don't think.
20	MS. STEINGASSER: No. Right.
21	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. My
22	problem is and I know people in the city probably

1 won't agree with me, but I think human beings 2 should have the same right whether you're 3 homeless or you got plenty of money. And I know 4 I'm -- I know Office of Planning and everybody 5 probably agrees with me. But here we are. 6 setting up a mechanism where we're treating 7 people like cattle. And we're putting them in a 8 CM Zone with the kind of uses that are not in our 9 residential neighborhoods basically. 10 MS. STEINGASSER: That's correct, but 11 hotels are already allowed in the Industrial 12 Zones and the interesting thing about Washington 13 is we're not a heavy industrial city. We don't 14 have the kind of noxious manufacturing. 15 have smelting plants. We don't have rendering 16 We don't have that kind of egregious 17 industrious relationship. We have, you know, 18 some -- a few, very few asphalt concrete plants. 19 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And I know 20 where those are too. 21 Exactly. MS. STEINGASSER: And we

they are and we know where

know

where

encourage them to relocate.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

But the question is not like we're going to gather up the homeless and ship them to the Industrial Zone. They've got no place to go. These are run by nonprofits and local government and FAG based groups, these shelters. are having а tremendously difficult time competing in the real estate market in Washington buy commercially zoned land. They're competing against the JVGs and the Averages and the, you know, the commercial developers for the same kind of -- and they just can't compete.

And I think it was in today's paper and then again on Sunday, they were taking about just the enormous increase in homelessness in the District both with its single men and with its families. And, you know, the numbers increase and increase and the supply decreasing. So, we're just trying to find a way to create more options that will allow them to locate and, yes. Minimize the conflicts with the residential neighborhoods, because residential

1	neighborhoods are very uncomfortable with
2	homeless shelter, especially for just adult
3	homeless coming into their neighborhood. So, we
4	though this was a kind of a broadening of their
5	opportunities. We're not certainly not
6	restricting them from being a commercial area and
7	we encourage them to be in the commercial areas.
8	C3C allows them right now as a matter of right
9	with no limitations.
10	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let me ask
11	you. Do you have any necessary areas already in
12	mind? Is there something already in the
13	pipeline?
14	MS. STEINGASSER: Not that I'm aware.
15	No.
16	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Is some so
17	other might eat, are they part of are they
18	MS. STEINGASSER: No.
19	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: They're not
20	part of
21	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: No.
22	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: They don't?

1	Okay.
2	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. All
3	right.
4	Any other questions?
5	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Well, let me
6	say, Mr. Chairman, and ask for a reaction.
7	I am not persuaded that F and G and
8	we already talked about G about 150 persons
9	beyond 150 persons. And F which would allow the
10	BZA to locate more than one in a square or within
11	1,000 feet are something we should do or support.
12	And to let you know that I'm interested in
13	removing both of those from this advertisement.
14	And wondered what trouble I'm causing.
15	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Well, let me
16	just say. I would support that and taking those
17	two out, F and G, I would be inclined to vote in
18	favor of this and I would agree with you. But I
19	know you want to hear from Office of Planning.
20	MS. STEINGASSER: The spacing
21	requirement reflects that that you see in the
22	residential zones where it comes out of the

1	health care facilities, the emergency shelters
2	and the youth care residential shelters. They
3	currently have a 500 foot buffer.
4	Based on comments we heard during set
5	down about the Commission's concern, we upped
6	that to 1,000 because at that time, I think, if
7	I'm correct, the Commission didn't feel 500 was
8	sufficient. So, we upped that to 1,000.
9	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: But you also
10	added that you could put more than one in a
11	square.
12	MS. STEINGASSER: Yes. And that
13	again reflects the existing language of 305.
14	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: But those
15	aren't
16	MS. STEINGASSER: 305.7 which is
17	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Those aren't
18	for 150 bed facilities, are they?
19	MS. STEINGASSER: It's unlimited. It
20	says the Board may approve a facility for more
21	than 15 persons and it puts no cap.
22	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Oh.

1	MS. STEINGASSER: So, that's right
2	now allowed
3	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I must have
4	voted for that. We got to fix
5	MS. STEINGASSER: So we
6	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: In my youth.
7	MS. STEINGASSER: were trying to
8	further define it so that the Commission was
9	comfortable. But it does reflect the
10	residentiallying, which is currently on the
11	books.
12	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Should we put
13	like Mr. parsons had said earlier. In that
14	case, if you're going to have more than one in a
14 15	case, if you're going to have more than one in a square, should you put a cap on that?
15	square, should you put a cap on that?
15 16	square, should you put a cap on that? COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Yes. I
15 16 17	square, should you put a cap on that? COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Yes. I just
15 16 17 18	square, should you put a cap on that? COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Yes. I just COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Rather than
15 16 17 18 19	square, should you put a cap on that? COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Yes. I just COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Rather than in a situation like that, not have it unlimited

1 since this text has been on the books where 2 there's been too many facilities of too large a 3 size in the special exception process. COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: 4 I mean, I'm 5 just -- I guess from what I hear from the Office 6 of Planning, I mean, you're trying to capture as 7 many opportunities to put these shelters in and I 8 think if we start tinkering with this language, 9 we're going to, you know, I mean, you know, I'm 10 comfortable, at least, you know, giving the 11 Board, you know, a special exception scenario. 12 mean, can really look at individual cases and 13 see. But I just would late that we would put 14 more belts and suspenders onto this. Because it 15 sounds to me that this is just a purely, you need 16 more supply. 17 MS. STEINGASSER: need We 18 supplies. We need more beds in the city. 19 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Yes. 20 MS. STEINGASSER: I think one thing 21 that speaks to this is the silence of the ANCs. 22 Unless something came in today though, the Office

1	of Zoning, we've not heard from one ANC in the
2	city about this text amendment.
3	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: At this
4	point?
5	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: No, I
6	MS. STEINGASSER: At this point. But
7	this is the point at which they should be heard.
8	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: But the city
9	doesn't operate like that.
10	MS. STEINGASSER: This is a public
11	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: That's her
12	experience. The planning level, they don't
13	it's when there is two 200-bed facilities in one
14	square. And that's what we're unleashing
15	potentially.
16	Well, the Zoning Commission thought
17	that, you know, we could have two in one square
18	or maybe even more and up beyond 150. And that's
19	what's troubling me about this.
20	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: But the Board
21	is the mechanism. I mean, it would difference if
22	there was no interim step here, you know. I

1 200 mean, if there were two square feet 2 facilities in a square, I mean, you know, that's 3 something that would get vented, you 4 through the BZA. 5 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Well, if it 6 said, you know, you could have two in one square 7 but no more than a committal of 150 people. 8 may be more inclined to deal with that. But this

10 one in a square but no limit.

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: What it It's an out for what we basically is an out. have here on the -- in 802.21 A, B, C, D and E. And I understand about getting more flexibility. I just think that and I would agree with Mr. parsons, F and G need to come out. I think this is a strict application in what we're trying to do. I mean, I would be more than happy to revisit this at a later time. I mean, but right now I would say, let's take out F and G and let's move forward and let's pass that.

says, you know, 150 but no limit and more than

COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay. Let's

1	just I mean, Office of Planning, we take out F
2	and G. What are the repercussions of that?
3	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Well, you
4	could build 150 I shouldn't answer. You
5	didn't ask me.
6	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Yes. I told
7	you, you go down there, you can
8	MS. STEINGASSER: We would end you
9	would end up with one shelter per square of no
10	more than 150 people. Right? Isn't that
11	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes. Right.
12	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Which is less
13	impact on a specific area. And I think that's
14	what we're trying to achieve.
15	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: And so we
16	should keep as many homeless people on the
17	streets?
18	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: No. I'm not
19	saying that. I've never said that.
20	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Because
21	you're reducing

1	residential areas, that's what I think.
2	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: You
3	potentially reducing the well, come on. I
4	mean that's not realistic. I mean, I don't want
5	a homeless shelter in front of my house.
6	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: It's not
7	realistic. Well, see.
8	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: And then I'll
9	be honest.
10	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: When you say
11	20 some houses back up?
12	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: No. But what
13	I'm saying
14	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: That's what
15	you just said.
16	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: No. No.
17	What I'm saying is that I am very much in favor
18	of these shelters
19	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Well, then
20	we'll put one of them in front of your house.
21	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Let me
22	finish.

1 What I'm saying is that I am very 2 much in favor of them being in the CM Zones, but 3 the problem is, is that if you start to limit how 4 they can be included in those CM Zones, you're 5 still not dealing with the problem. What I'm hearing from the Office of 6 7 Planning that is not enough supply. But that's 8 all I'm saying. It seems like we're watering it 9 down and you're really not allowing flexibility. 10 And it seems like we're tinkering and I just 11 don't think we should, as a Zoning Commission 12 should be doing that. We should leave it to the 13 BZA to do that. 14 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Jeffries, 15 I really think -- and I agree with you about 16 watering down, but I think what we're watering 17 down is what we have here in front of us is 18 watered down. Because strict we have 19 application as I said in what is that, 20 And then you water it down in F and G.

COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES:

watering it down.

21

22

And where's

1	the what's the first one?
2	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: You have the
3	strict application 802.21 which says, for
4	example, there shall be no other property
5	containing emergency shelters other
6	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Right.
7	Right.
8	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes. So,
9	you're basically saying, here's your regulations.
10	But if we're not able to achieve that then let's
11	open it some more here in F and G. Let's get
12	some more options.
13	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: That's
14	exactly what I
15	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: But that's
16	watering it down.
17	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Oh, I don't
18	see it as
19	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Let's ask the
20	Office of Planning if they had an option on how
21	they would restructure two then. If they weren't
22	removed could you restructure it in another way

1	to meet the intent of what we're talking about?
2	MS. STEINGASSER: Well, we could put
3	a cap as suggested, you know, possibly up to more
4	than 200 by special exception in one square. You
5	know, like Mr. Parsons was saying one facility of
6	150 maximum or, you know, multiple facilities.
7	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: There are
8	200, what's the number, you know?
9	MS. STEINGASSER: I was just picking
10	a number to try to buy comfort.
11	The other option is that we could
12	remove F and G to get something passed this
13	evening. We could give it two seasons and see
14	what happens. And if we find we're still not
15	meeting our need, we could come back in two years
16	and see the impact. And if there still maybe
17	we can get more providers in and get even more
18	comfort in how they're being placed throughout
19	the city.
20	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I like that.
21	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I would be

got to get back to -- many, many years ago we talked about this issue and the whole idea of dealing with community-based residential facilities or this issue is to have small family clusters of people living together level or trying to get back into the mainstream of society and not to institutionalize them in some prison-And that's what I'm worried like environment. about is whether it's not working. I'm not sure. But I think it's more economical to deal with 150 people. COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Yes. COMMISSIONER PARSONS: And that's why we're where we are. It has nothing to do with these people's future and whether they ought to be in an environment where they can get a bus and maybe get a job and so forth. And not to bring them out into CM zones and create little mini prisons. I see Mr. Jeffries is not buying --COMMISSIONER Ι JEFFRIES: am certainly --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

COMMISSIONER PARSONS: That's where this came from.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: You know, I'm certainly not in the homeless shelter industry. But it somehow seems to me that, you know, what you're saying is unidealistic, I mean, to me. mean, it just -- it somehow didn't seem like it really reflects what's going on on the ground. And, you know, I'm just concerned. I think what's you're proposing is the right thing and I think from a theory perspective, I think, you know, we should all be aiming for that. have very clear lack of resources in the District of Columbia. And we don't have a lot of land. We can't go up. We can't go out. I mean, we have to use what we can. And I'm just somewhat more sympathetic to, you know, what the Office of Planning is trying to achieve here.

I mean, I clearly understand that, you know, we don't want large scale homeless shelters in the CM zones all over the place. I got that. And we don't want to create that kind

1	of business. But we certainly need to find a way
2	to get people off the streets, if that's the
3	alternative. If we're going to try to create all
4	these small little clusters which, again, is very
5	idyllic and we all want it, but short of that,
6	given all the stress you're going to get from
7	trying to put these in a residential zone, what's
8	the next step? And I'm just, you know
9	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: As long as we
10	don't go to a square occupied by 500 to 700 to
11	1,000 people.
12	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Put your
13	number up.
14	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I mean, no.
15	You could. You could say, well, we want unit for
16	200 and there's one next to it. That we develop
17	an enclave in the city where the homeless live.
18	And that's what I'm trying to look at.
19	And not that the BZA is
20	irresponsible, but a little creep here, a little
21	creep there and before you know it, the Zoning
22	Commission didn't know what they were doing.

1	Have we debated this long enough, Mr.
2	chairman?
3	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes. We have
4	and any other questions of the Office of
5	Planning, because we're going to move right
6	through this agenda and we can come and see how
7	we're going to proceed once we finish the agenda.
8	This is getting longer than I thought.
9	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: We have
10	another representative here.
11	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Any
12	other questions of the Office of Planning? No
13	other questions.
14	Do we have any other reports of
15	other Government agencies? We have in front of
16	us a letter from the Office of Property
17	Management from the Deputy Director of
18	Operations, Peter May.
19	Would you like to say a word of two
20	or do you want your letter to speak for itself?
21	It's good to see you.
22	MR. MAY: Hi. My name is Peter May.

I live at 1017 C Street, N.E., Washington, D.C.

I had not come here intending to testify and I had intended for the letter to speak for itself. But I came down here for another reason and happened to hear the conversation and thought maybe there a few things that I could tell you about the homeless shelter business since it's one of the things that -- one of the businesses that I'm now in.

I'm not sure exactly how the proposed regulation is written. But I will say that when we tried to put a very specific limit on the number of people that can be at a shelter, you're not necessarily making -- restricting it and in the process creating better facilities.

Smaller facilities aren't necessarily always the best. When you have a smaller facility, essentially the smaller it gets, the less services you can provide. And the trend, as I understand it, and I am just a real estate agent/architect for these sorts of things is you wind up -- you need to have a certain critical

mass of people in order to provide the kind of wrap around services. And when you get to smaller and smaller buildings, essentially what you're doing is providing beds that they check into at night and they leave first thing in the morning. And so we need to have some flexibility to have some larger facilities.

Now, if there is a concern that we have too many congregated within a single square, I don't know that we really have too many circumstances where we're going to run into that. But I will say that in the CM zones where we now, you know, where we've been looking for property for even other reasons, there just isn't that much out there. And, you know, we may wind up in a situation where we need to house a certain number of people and the only way we could do it is to have two shelters within the same square. And it really is a matter of what that whole -how that whole shelter will function or how both shelters will function. More than the size and more than strictly the number of beds in terms of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

how it will perform.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And that's why to the extent to which we can make the individual case that a given shelter is justified in an existing square, I think that would serve us better in the long run.

I will also say that it is definitely our preference to locate shelters in CM In fact, it's sort of the last refuge in many ways because we really want to put the shelter where the people are. They tend not to want to get on a bus and go to a different location. If they're downtown all day long, they want to sleep downtown. And, you know, it's just a heck of a struggle trying to find beds downtown and find buildings that we can make into the sort of shelters with the kinds of services that we need to be able to treat people right and to help them get back on their feet. And, you know, this is really just a measure that provides us some greater flexibility with the blessing of the BZA so there's appropriate community input and that don't wind up overloading any particular

neighborhood.

VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. May, let me just ask you.

Do you know of any particular project that's in line to go into a CM-1 zone at this point? Is there something that's triggering this? I mean, other than we're trying to find places for them. But is there something already in the pipeline?

MR. MAY: There -- okay. There isn't anything that specifically triggered this at this time, except that there are hypothermia shelters in CM-1 zones that have been previously permitted by the Zoning Administrator. That's really what prompted this amendment more than anything else.

I will say that there is at least one property that we are actively looking at right now for a hypothermia shelter on that same basis. Not for the sake of putting in a homeless shelter there permanently, but we need hypothermia beds and hypothermia seasons starts November 1st and runs through March 31st. And we need to have

1	extra beds when that happens because we have a
2	legal obligation to provide beds for all of those
3	people.
4	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Can you tell
5	me which ward this is in?
6	MR. MAY: It's in Ward 5, I believe.
7	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. All
8	right.
9	Commissioner Jeffries.
10	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: So, wait.
11	Emergency shelters, I've been saying homeless
12	shelters. I mean, what's the is it one in the
13	same? I mean
14	MS. STEINGASSER: We're using
15	we're using the terms interchangeably. But they
16	would be considered emergency shelters.
17	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay.
18	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Any other
19	questions?
20	Well, thank you, Mr. May.
21	Oh, we have a question.
22	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I'd just ask

1	Mr. May.
2	What optimum size? I mean, 150, is
3	that, I mean, will they go bigger?
4	MR. MAY: Well, there are certainly
5	some shelters that are significantly larger than
6	that. But that's not the ideal. I think the
7	ideal is more than 100. I can't tell you an
8	exact number. I think that the model facilities
9	that we have that have been built in the city in
10	the last few years, if you can really call them
11	model facilities, but the ones that we're trying
12	to do more of or trying to better of, tend to be
13	I think they're over 200.
14	MR. MAY: Really, yes.
15	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: But I don't
16	know that for an absolute fact.
17	MR. MAY: Do you happen to know what
18	the new York Avenue facility is?
19	MS. STEINGASSER: I do not.
20	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: No. But I do
21	think that one's over 200. I don't know the
22	exact size.

1	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: The facility
2	the New York Avenue facility is up and running
3	now?
4	MR. MAY: Yes.
5	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And which
6	ward is that in?
7	MR. MAY: That's in Ward 5.
8	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Two for two.
9	Maybe if I ask another questions, we can get
10	three.
11	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: How about the
12	one right over here?
13	MR. MAY: Would you like to know how
13 14	MR. MAY: Would you like to know how many homeless shelters are in Ward 6?
14	many homeless shelters are in Ward 6?
14 15	many homeless shelters are in Ward 6? VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Not tonight.
14 15 16	many homeless shelters are in Ward 6? VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Not tonight. No.
14 15 16 17	many homeless shelters are in Ward 6? VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Not tonight. No. COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: And I think
14 15 16 17 18	many homeless shelters are in Ward 6? VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Not tonight. No. COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: And I think that Ward 1 was in second place.
14 15 16 17 18	many homeless shelters are in Ward 6? VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Not tonight. No. COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: And I think that Ward 1 was in second place. COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Isn't the

1	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: That's one of
2	your larger ones there.
3	MR. MAY: many beds. That's
4	the
5	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Five hundred
6	or
7	MR. MAY: Oh, over that. It may be
8	1,000 people. It's
9	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: It's the
10	biggest one in the city.
11	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: What ward is
12	that in? I'm kidding. Sounds like two to me.
13	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Where is
14	that?
15	MR. MAY: That's Ward 6.
16	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Where is it
17	located?
18	MR. MAY: It's at Second and D
19	Street, between D and E. It's essentially
20	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: One block
21	over.
22	MR. MAY: One block over on the other

1	side of the freeway from here.
2	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: You bring up
3	a good point, Mr. May, is hypothermia season.
4	You bring up a very good point.
5	Any other questions of Mr. May?
6	Mr. May, thank you for coming down
7	and testifying and it's always good to see you.
8	MR. MAY: Thank you very much. I
9	look forward to seeing you next week too.
10	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oh, two times
11	in a few okay.
12	MR. MAY: I'll be a private citizen
13	then but
14	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oh, okay.
15	We'll be looking forward to that.
16	Okay. Do we have anyone in the
17	audience from any ANC? Anyone? Any ANC?
18	So noted. I don't see anyone.
19	Any organizations or persons in
20	support?
21	So noted. Not seeing anyone.
22	Organizations or persons in

1	opposition?
2	So noted. Not seeing anyone.
3	Okay. Colleagues, would you all like
4	to deliberate right quick on this and move
5	forward or are we going to deal with this at a
6	we can deal with it at our next public meeting.
7	Or would you like to do some type of proposed
8	action tonight?
9	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: It's not an
10	emergency here, is it?
11	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: No.
12	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: So, can we
13	wait?
14	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Is that what
15	everybody
16	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Well, where
17	did we end up on F and G?
18	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: i really
19	think that I'm going to advocate for at least
20	starting this. And I don't mind revisiting
21	anything even if it takes a week or so. But I'm
22	advocating for I like what Ms. Steingasser

1	said about if we were to take proposed action
2	tonight, doing away with F and G and let them go
3	to some source some way you were going and see
4	what impact. And then if we had to revisit it,
5	we would. That's my
6	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Two years.
7	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Is it two
8	years?
9	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: As I grasp it.
10	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.
11	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: And so you're
12	fine with that?
13	MS. STEINGASSER: Just trying to find
14	a solution that would get all of us across the
14 15	a solution that would get all of us across the line. Yes.
15	line. Yes.
15 16	line. Yes. COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Is there any
15 16 17	line. Yes. COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Is there any way that we could
15 16 17 18	line. Yes. COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Is there any way that we could VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Go ahead. Go
15 16 17 18 19	line. Yes. COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Is there any way that we could VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Go ahead. Go ahead.

1	commentary today, is there anything else that you
2	might need to think about that you could put
3	before us? It's not an emergency.
4	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I guess I'm
5	just thinking about Mr. May's comment that if 200
6	is the optimum, I mean, I'm not saying that
7	bigger is better. But, I mean, if he's saying
8	that I mean, I'm wondering where we or are we
9	limiting at some point?
10	MS. STEINGASSER: I would request
11	that we be given time. If we're going to put an
12	absolute cap that we be given a week or two to
13	contact some of the at least the public
14	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Right.
15	MS. STEINGASSER: and FAG based
16	providers and see what that cap is a realistic
17	cap.
18	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Talk to the
19	expects.
20	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I'm willing to
21	compromise as long as it's 200 or something. And
22	then in F, you would not have more than more

1	than 200 or whatever that number is in the
2	multiple shelters that are located in other
3	words, it would be 200 per square and 200 per
4	facility.
5	MS. STEINGASSER: So, it would be a
6	bed count as opposed to a shelter count.
7	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: That kind of
8	thing. Yes.
9	MS. STEINGASSER: Okay.
10	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: So, F and G
11	would be modified to give a cap on both.
12	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay. So, F
13	would say the Board may approve more than one
14	200
15	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: No. One
16	emergency more than one as long as the total
17	amount did not exceed 200.
18	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay.
19	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: A 50 bed and a
20	150 bed in other words is what I mean.
21	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Well, doesn't
22	G sort of okay.

1	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: No. This
2	is
3	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: We probably
4	can make that one though. From what you're
5	proposing, I probably could go with that. We
6	probably could that make that one. We can cut
7	out one of these alphabets then, because you've
8	getting the same thing. I don't now. I don't
9	want to start crafting language.
10	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: No. But the
11	idea is not to have more than 200 people in a
12	square.
13	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: In a square.
14	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: And not more
15	than 200 people in a facility. So, F deals with
16	more than one facility.
17	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: I got you.
18	You're 200 in both F and G, but do we still want
19	to wait for
20	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Until they
21	come up with a number.
22	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Yes. Until

1	they come up with a number because I'd rather
2	that be researched.
3	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So, here are
4	our options.
5	What Mr. Parsons just proposed and
6	also deleting F and G. Okay? That's one options
7	and then what you proposed?
8	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Yes. The
9	compromise.
10	Any other options?
11	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Well, those
12	are
13	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: He wants to
14	eliminate F and G.
15	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: I got it.
16	But I'm saying that, you know, Commissioner
17	Parsons recommended both of those.
18	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Right.
19	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Right. Okay.
20	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Right. So,
21	we have one to delete F and G and then one to
22	come back and revise them?

1	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: So, duly let
2	yourself off then?
3	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. All
4	right. Well, it's lasted a little longer.
5	Do we need to close the record or
6	what do we need to do?
7	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Yes. We do.
8	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: We need to
9	close the record, but we need to leave it open
10	for the Office of Planning. Okay.
11	We don't need a date or anything, do
12	we?
13	All right. Well, I thank everybody
14	for their participation tonight and this hearing
15	is adjourned.
16	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: And I'm sorry,
17	what time? I mean, what's the time frame for us
18	to submit something?
19	ACTING SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Ten days
20	before the meeting.
21	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Okay.
22	ACTING SECRETARY SCHELLIN: If you

1	can. So, if you don't make it 10 days before the
2	November 13th meeting, then it will go on for
3	December.
4	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Okay.
5	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Adjourned.
6	(Whereupon, the above matter was
7	concluded at 7:22 p.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	